

Section 9

Very Preliminary
Summary

Mound Accelerated Site Technology Deployment

8-1



Assumptions

Probabilistic Approach

- Uncertainty is acknowledged in Decision Rule
- Demonstrated spatial correlation of site data is basis of mapping Economic Framework
- Projects are framed as Optimization / Minimization problems and solved as Decision-Analytic Problems

All factors are given a \$ value (costs, risks, consequences)

Collaborative Partnership

- Site Owners, Regulators, Stakeholders, Project resources
- Performance Objectives negotiated among all parties
- Information open to all parties

Mound Accelerated Site Technology Deployment

8-2



- Earth science data are spatially correlated (not independent)
- Limited sampling results in spatial uncertainty
- Uncertainty must be addressed in any mapping exercise
- Scale of the data and scale of the decision must be correlated

Mound Accelerated Site Technology Deployment

8-3

If the action level is the mean, the proportions don't change much; and if the action level is below the mean, the proportion of remediation blocks above the action level will increase as the scale increases.



Smart Application Sampling Concepts and Principles

- Objective, Defensible Decision Framework
- Probabilistic Decision Rule
- Values Expressed in Economic Terms
- Collaborative Decision Process
- Open Access to Information

Mound Accelerated Site Technology Deployment

8-4



Things Left Unsaid

- Geostatistical modeling of multiple, correlated properties (cokriging)
- · Incorporation of "soft" or subjective information
- Other types of spatial variance/covariance estimators
- Other types of simulation (p-field, simulated annealing)
- Strong tie of this material to the concept of a Random Function

Mound Accelerated Site Technology Deployment

8-5

The site in this example is $2200 \text{ m} \times 2200 \text{ m}$. Composite samples were taken on $10 \times 10 \text{m}$ blocks. The concentrations were averaged to make a decision on $20 \times 20 \text{m}$ or larger blocks.

As the decision blocks get larger to $50 \times 50 \text{m}$ and $100 \times 100 \text{m}$, the picture gets smoother. Focusing on the hotspots when making a decision on the $100 \times 100 \text{m}$ scale is not very efficient.

If the action level is 25 pCi/g (the top of the color bar), quite a bit of area in 10x10m or 20x20m blocks must be remediated, but if the decision scale is 100x100m hardly any remediation needs to be done.

The action level is also a function of scale. Does it apply to the teaspoon, the bulldozer, or the subdivision?

There is no one answer to this. Scaling is something you have to keep in mind when you're doing sampling, and it should be worked out with the regulators and the stakeholders.



Applications

- Generally, geostatistical estimation and simulation are most applicable when there is no simple, or well understood, model of deposition for the property of interest.
- Current Applications Include:
 - Air Pollution, Agriculture, Ecology (terrestrial and aquatic)
 - Epidemiology, Geology, Geography, Human Health
 - Hydrology, Mining, Petroleum, Remote Sensing
 - Soil Science, Time Series Data, Veterinary Science

Mound Accelerated Site Technology Deployment

8-6

We have been looking at data sets that are well behaved in terms of samples being taken over the same scale that the decision is being made. That is not always the case. Often, or even usually, measurements from a sample size of a few centimeters of soil are used to make a decision over a 50 or 100 sq. ft. area. We don't have samples and decisions at the same scale.

This section addresses how we mediate between those scales.



Current Directions in Geostatistics

- Incorporation of "soft" data into geostatistical predictions
- Scaling of physical properties
- Optimization of sampling locations and "data worth"
- Further development of non-parametric techniques

Mound Accelerated Site Technology Deployment

8-7

Scaling is a smoothing process. As we average over bigger and bigger block sizes, the mean value does not change but the standard deviation goes down. There is not as much variability as we smooth towards the mean. The minimum value increases and the maximum value decreases.



Geostats and GIS

- To this point, the combination of the two has not been fruitful
- Geostatistics is raster based (constant support) while GIS often deals with vector data
- Much work in GIS has been lacking in the analysis area, especially in predictive modeling

Mound Accelerated Site Technology Deployment

8-8

The proportion of the site that requires clean-up drops as the discretization size increases.

The proportion of the distribution that is above 15 ppm is dropping because the action level is above the mean. As we average up, things tend to collapse to the mean (the average of the whole domain is the mean value).

Remediation panel size and action level are fundamentally linked.